arXiv:hep-th/9202068v1 19 Feb 1992

Properties of Asymptotically Flat Two-Dimensional Black Holes

R.B. Mann, M.S. Morris and S.F. Ross
Department of Physics
University of Waterloo
Waterloo, Ontario
N2L 3G1

October 15, 1991
WATPHYS TH-91/04

Abstract

We investigate properties of two-dimensional asymptotically flat black holes which arise in both string theory and in scale invariant theories of gravity. By introducing matter sources in the field equations we show how such objects can arise as the endpoint of gravitational collapse. We examine the motion of test particles outside the horizons, and show that they fall through in a finite amount of proper time and an infinite amount of coordinate time. We also investigate the thermodynamic and quantum properties, which give rise to a fundamental length scale. The 't Hooft prescription for cutting off eigenmodes of particle wave functions is shown to be source dependent, unlike the four-dimensional case. The relationship between these black holes and those considered previously in $(1+1)$ dimensions is discussed.

1 Introduction

The study of black hole spacetimes in two-dimensional theories of gravity [1, 2, 3] has proven to be a useful exercise in exploring a variety of issues associated with both classical [4] and quantum gravity [5, 6]. Recently there has been some interest in two dimensional spacetimes described by the metric

$$ds^2 = -(1 - ae^{-Qx})dt^2 + \frac{dx^2}{1 - ae^{-Qx}} \quad . \tag{1}$$

where a and Q are constants of integration. Although first discovered as a solution to a scale-invariant higher-derivative theory of gravity [7], this metric has been found to be a solution to $c = 1$ Liouville gravity [8] as well as to a non-critical string theory in two spacetime dimensions [9, 10]. In this latter case, the theory may be considered to be a critical string theory in a non-trivial background; setting to zero the one-loop beta function of the bosonic sigma model with two target spacetime dimensions yields field equations for the metric and dilaton fields whose solution is (1) and

$$\Phi = -\frac{Q}{2}x \tag{2}$$

where now $Q^2 = 8/\alpha'$, α' being the string coupling constant. The effective (Lorentzian) target space action from which this solution follows is

$$S = \int d^2x e^{-2\Phi}\sqrt{-g}(R - 4(\nabla\Phi)^2 + c), \tag{3}$$

Alternatively, this solution may also be described by a $k = 9/4$ gauged SO(2,1)/SO(1,1) WZW model [10].

The metric (1) resembles the (3+1) dimensional Schwarzschild black hole in a number of ways. It is asymptotically flat as $x \to \infty$ and it has an event horizon at $x = \ln(a)/Q$ (provided $a > 0$). The curvature scalar is

$$R = -aQ^2e^{-Qx} \tag{4}$$

which is singular as $x \to -\infty$.

For $Q < 0$ analogous properties hold under inversion of the spatial coordinates (*i.e.* x replaced by $-x$). In this respect it is quite unlike the $(3 + 1)$ dimensional Schwarzschild black hole (and the black hole spacetimes studied

previously in (1+1) dimensions [1, 2]) as the solution is not symmetric about the origin. Such a property makes it difficult to understand the solution (1) arising as the endpoint of gravitational collapse of localized $1+1$ dimensional matter [4]. Indeed, collapse to a metric of the form (1) would have to be "one sided": all the matter would need to collapse in the same direction. Rather one expects a general localized distribution of matter to collapse inwardly toward some fixed point (which may be taken to be the origin), leaving the same vacuum spacetime for *both* $+x$ and $-x$.

Hence in a manner analogous to the situation in $3+1$ dimensions one expects

$$ds^2 = -\alpha(|x|)dt^2 + \frac{dx^2}{\alpha(|x|)} \tag{5}$$

to be the form of the exterior metric [4], inversion symmetry in the coordinate x being the $1+1$ dimensional analogue of spherical symmetry. Of course the coordinate x in (1) could well be replaced by a coordinate r such that $(1 - ae^{-Qx}) = \tanh^2 r$; then the metric (1) becomes manifestly symmetric under inversion of the new coordinate r. Although the Penrose conformal diagram for this spacetime is visually identical with that for the Schwarzschild spacetime (there being a symmetry about the vertical in the Penrose diagram) this symmetry is *not* the spherical symmetry which would correspond to that arising from spherically-symmetric gravitational collapse. Rather it is a symmetry in the (u, v) null coordinates which is present as a consequence of the Kruskal-Szekeres extension of (1) (and, in $3+1$ dimensions, of the extension of the usual Schwarzchild metric). Note that in the Schwarzschild case, every point on the Penrose diagram corresponds to a 2-sphere, whereas in the metric (1) every point on the Penrose diagram corresponds to a single point. The above arguments suggest that a better analog to Schwarzschild, based on our work on gravitational collapse, would be for every point on the Penrose diagram to correspond to a 0-sphere (that is, two points). If every point corresponds to a 0-sphere the metric is symmetric in the spatial coordinate and the solution could plausibly arrive from gravitational collapse of localized matter.

In the bosonic sigma model which gives rise to (1), the only "matter" field present is the tachyon. However it is possible to construct more complicated models in which many other matter fields are present. In such models one might expect a breakdown of conformal invariance, generating an effective

stress-energy tensor for the $1+1$ dimensional gravitational field.

In this paper we consider the action (3) (and its extension to include tachyons) from a relativist's viewpoint, by incorporating effects due to matter sources represented by a stress-energy tensor $T_{\mu\nu}$. In the absence of tachyons, we show that the effective action mentioned above is equivalent to that of a massive scalar field non-minimally coupled to curvature. Apart from its relationship to string theory, we regard this theory (coupled to matter) as being of intrinsic interest as a two- dimensional theory of gravitation [12]. We also investigate the circumstances under which a black hole in this theory might form as the endpoint of gravitational collapse of some (finite) distribution of matter. Specifically, we consider $1+1$ dimensional dust coupled to the graviton-dilaton system and show that it collapses to the exterior black hole solution

$$ds^2 = -(1 - ae^{-Q|x|})dt^2 + \frac{dx^2}{1 - ae^{-Q|x|}} \tag{6}$$

with the dilaton field

$$\Phi = -\frac{Q}{2}|x| \tag{7}$$

provided appropriate surface stresses and dilaton surface charges are included, in contrast to $(1+1)$-dimensional dust collapse to a black hole previously studied in another context [4] where no such stresses need be introduced. Apart from this, we find that other properties are similar to the situation studied in ref. [4]: the formation of the event horizon takes a finite amount of proper time and an infinite amount of co-ordinate time, and the interior solution to which the solution (6,7) is matched collapses to a point within finite proper time.

Inclusion of a non-zero tachyon field implies that the metric and dilaton must be [13]

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{2}(k-2)[dr^2 - 4(\coth^2\frac{r}{2} - \frac{2}{k})^{-1}d\tau^2] \tag{8}$$

$$\Phi = \log[\sinh r(\coth^2\frac{r}{2} - \frac{2}{k})^{1/2}] \tag{9}$$

provided the L_0 operator is equated with the target space Laplacian. These reduce to the metric and dilaton (1,2) in the limit $k \to \infty$. A coordinate

transformation will put this metric in the form

$$ds^2 = -\left(1 - \frac{k}{1+\cosh(Qx)}\right)dt^2 + \frac{dx^2}{\left(1 - \frac{k}{1+\cosh(Qx)}\right)}, \tag{10}$$

which is symmetric in x. This metric and dilaton do not necessarily represent an exact solution of the full field equations with the tachyon $\mathcal{T} \neq 0$. However, the fact that they are invariant under inversion of x makes them worthy of study from our point of view.

Finally, we investigate the properties of the black hole spacetimes (6) which arise in such a theory, comparing them to those $(1+1)$ dimensional spacetimes studied previously [2, 4]. We demonstrate that freely falling test particles fall through the event horizons in finite proper time and infinite coordinate time (as in the previously studied case [2]), although the forms of the exact solutions of the equations of motion differ. The Hawking temperature and entropy of the horizons are computed and finally the quantum properties are studied in the context of the 't Hooft "brick wall" model. The thermodynamic properties are observed to be identical to that of the black hole studied in [2], and we show that the "brick wall" prescription for cutting off the wavemodes of particle wave functions near the horizon leads to a source-dependent cutoff distance, whereas in four dimensions the cutoff distance is source-independent. We also study the properties of the metric (10) obtained from considering tachyons, with similar results. We summarize our results and discuss further areas of interest in a concluding section.

2 Two-Dimensional Gravitational Actions

In [7], a solution is developed which has the characteristics of a black hole. The proposed Lagrangian is $\mathcal{L} = R^{\kappa+1}$, for which the field equation is

$$R^{\kappa+1} + (1 + \frac{1}{\kappa})\nabla^2 R^{\kappa} = 0. \tag{11}$$

The black hole is obtained by taking the limit $\kappa \to 0$. If the metric is written in the form

$$ds^2 = -\alpha dt^2 + \alpha^{-1} dx^2, \tag{12}$$

then the solution is given by (1), so that

$$\alpha(x) = 1 - ae^{-Qx}. \tag{13}$$

where a and Q are arbitrary constants.

This solution may also be obtained from a string theory action [9, 10]. With the tachyon field taken to be $\mathcal{T} = 0$, setting to zero the one-loop beta functions of the associated sigma model effective action yields the field equations

$$R_{\mu\nu} - 2\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu\Phi = 0, \tag{14}$$

$$R + 4(\nabla\Phi)^2 - 4\nabla^2\Phi + c = 0, \tag{15}$$

where a is still arbitrary, but $c = Q^2 = 8/\alpha'$. In a gauge where the dilaton is $\Phi = -Qx/2$, (13) is a solution of this system.

We pause here to comment that the action (3) may be recast in the form of a Klein-Gordon action with a non-minimal coupling to curvature [5, 12]. If we write $\psi = e^{-\Phi}$, then the target space action (3) becomes

$$S = \int d^2x\sqrt{-g}(\psi^2 R - 4(\nabla\psi)^2 + c\psi^2), \tag{16}$$

and variation with respect to ψ yields the equation

$$(\alpha\psi')' - \alpha^{-1}\ddot{\psi} - \frac{c}{4}\psi - \frac{1}{4}\psi R = 0. \tag{17}$$

But (17) is equivalent to the (non-minimal) Klein-Gordon equation,

$$(\alpha\phi')' - \alpha^{-1}\ddot{\phi} - m^2\phi + \xi\phi R = 0, \tag{18}$$

if we identify $c/4 = m^2$ and let the arbitrary coupling $\xi = -1/4$. Thus, this system may be interpreted as a massive scalar field $\psi = e^{Qx/2}$ non-minimally coupled to curvature. We concentrate on this viewpoint, as it permits a relatively unambiguous interpretation of the gravitational effects, and it is easy to introduce a suitable matter term $8\pi G g^{\mu\nu}T_{\mu\nu}$ into the target space action. Such a term models the breakdown of conformal invariance in the context of the string action (19) .

The effective (Lorentzian) target space action (3) becomes

$$S_{eff} = \int d^2x\sqrt{g}\left[e^{-2\Phi}\left(R - 4(\nabla\Phi)^2 + (\nabla\mathcal{T})^2 + V(\mathcal{T}) + c\right)\right] \tag{19}$$

upon inclusion of the tachyon field. The equations which follow from the action (19) have the solution (10), where now

$$\alpha(x) = \left(1 - \frac{k}{1 + \cosh(Qx)}\right) \tag{20}$$

for an appropriate choice of $V(\mathcal{T})$, although the metric and dilaton fields (8,9) do not necessarily represent an exact solution of the full field equations [13].

We wish to compare the black hole solutions considered in this paper to those found in the minimally coupled theory previously developed in [2, 4]. In this simpler theory, the field equation is

$$R = 8\pi G T, \tag{21}$$

where R is the Ricci scalar and T is the trace of the stress-energy tensor. This field equation follows from the action [5]

$$S = \int d^2x \, \sqrt{-g} g^{\mu\nu} \left(\frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \Psi \partial_\nu \Psi + \Psi R_{\mu\nu} - 8\pi G T_{\mu\nu} \right) \tag{22}$$

where Ψ is an auxiliary field, whose equation guarantees the conservation of the stress-energy tensor $T_{\mu\nu}$. If we write the metric in the form (12), and take the stress- energy to be that of a point mass at the origin, then there is a black hole solution to this equation,

$$\alpha(x) = 2M|x| - 1, \tag{23}$$

with horizons at $|x| = 1/(2M)$. Comparison with the Newtonian limit [3] indicates that the parameter M may be interpreted as the mass of the black hole. This solution is examined in more detail in [2].

3 Black Holes and Gravitational Collapse

As mentioned in the introduction, the solution (13) has been referred to as a black hole, as it is asymptotically flat and has an event horizon where $\alpha(x) = 0$, at $x = \ln(a)/Q$ (provided $a > 0$). As we shall see, a combination of the parameters a and Q will determine the mass of the black hole. The solution also has a curvature singularity $R \to -\infty$ as $x \to -\infty$ (assuming $Q > 0$). It is worth noting at this point that the sign convention for R implicit in the equations (14,15) is the opposite of that used in [2].

However the asymmetric black hole (13) differs from the $(3+1)$-dimensional Schwarzchild case in several ways, which make it difficult to interpret. It is asymmetric in the spatial variable, has only one event horizon, and has a

curvature singularity as $x \to -\infty$ (as opposed to $r \to 0$). One might expect that such differences would be absent for a black hole which arises from the collapse of a distribution of matter coupled to the theory described by (16).

Introducing a source of stress-energy into the action (16), the field equations are

$$e^{-2\Phi}(R_{\mu\nu} + 2\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu\Phi) = 8\pi T_{\mu\nu} \tag{24}$$

$$R - 4(\nabla\Phi)^2 + 4\nabla^2\Phi + c = 0 \tag{25}$$

where we have written $\psi = e^{-\Phi}$. In the context of the string action (19), $c = (26-D)/(3\alpha') = 8/\alpha'$ since $D = 2$, and the tachyon has been set to zero. It is straightfoward to show that the system (24,25) guarantees the covariant conservation of $T^{\mu\nu}$.

Consider a symmetric distribution of dust centered about the origin over a finite spatial range. The solutions to the field equations (24,25) in the absence of matter are

$$ds^2 = -(1 - ae^{-Q|x|})dt^2 + \frac{dx^2}{1 - ae^{-Q|x|}} \tag{26}$$

and

$$\Phi = -\frac{Q}{2}|x| \tag{27}$$

with $Q^2 = c$. This solution is valid everywhere except at $x = 0$.

We next consider the interior solution, here taking the metric to be of the form

$$ds^2 = -d\tau^2 + \mathcal{R}^2(\tau)dy^2 \tag{28}$$

with the stress-energy tensor

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \rho(\tau)u^\mu u^\nu \quad . \tag{29}$$

Using comoving coordinates, the conservation laws imply that $\rho\mathcal{R}$ is a constant, which may be chosen to be ρ_0, the initial density of the dust at $\tau = 0$.

With this choice of coordinates the field equations (24) become

$$\ddot{\mathcal{R}} - 2\dot{\mathcal{R}}\dot{\Phi} = 0 \tag{30}$$

$$e^{-2\Phi}\left(-\frac{\ddot{\mathcal{R}}}{\mathcal{R}} + 2\ddot{\Phi}\right) = 8\pi G\rho \tag{31}$$

whereas (25) becomes

$$2\frac{\ddot{\mathcal{R}}}{\mathcal{R}} + 4\dot{\Phi}^2 - 4\frac{\dot{\mathcal{R}}}{\mathcal{R}}\dot{\Phi} - 4\ddot{\Phi} + Q^2 = 0 \quad . \tag{32}$$

Insertion of (30) into (32) yields

$$\Phi(\tau) = \Phi_0 - \ln\left(\cos(\frac{Q}{2}\tau)\right) \tag{33}$$

which, upon substitution into (31) implies

$$\mathcal{R}(\tau) = 1 - \lambda\tan(\frac{Q}{2}\tau) \tag{34}$$

where λ is a constant of integration. Eq. (31) also implies that

$$\rho_0 = \frac{Q^2}{16\pi G}e^{-2\Phi_0} \tag{35}$$

as a consequence of the conservation law.

The interior solution given by (33,34) exhibits collapse in a finite amount of comoving coordinate time $\tau_c = \frac{2}{Q}\tan^{-1}(\frac{1}{\lambda})$. Since the density of dust varies inversely with $\mathcal{R}(\tau)$ we see that, as in $3+1$ dimensions, the dust collapses to a state of infinite density at this time. The curvature

$$R = -\frac{Q^2\lambda\sec^2(\frac{Q}{2}\tau)\tan^2(\frac{Q}{2}\tau)}{1-\lambda\tan(\frac{Q}{2}\tau)} \tag{36}$$

also diverges at τ_c.

That the gravitational collapse of dust is observable from outside may be shown by matching the metric and the dilaton field at the edge of the dust $x = s(\tau)$. These conditions are easily met by choosing

$$|s(\tau)| = x_0 + \frac{2}{Q}\ln\left(\cos(\frac{Q}{2}\tau)\right) \tag{37}$$

which guarantees the dilaton matching, and

$$\dot{t}^2(1-ae^{-Q|s|}) - \frac{\dot{s}^2}{(1-ae^{-Q|s|})} = 1 \tag{38}$$

which ensures that the metrics (26,28) match at the boundary of the dust. This latter equation has the solution

$$t = \frac{2}{Q} \tanh^{-1} \left[\frac{\sin(\frac{Q}{2}\tau)}{\sin(\frac{Q}{2}\tau_H)} \right] \tag{39}$$

where $\tau_H = \frac{2}{Q} \cos^{-1}(\sqrt{a} e^{-Qx_0/2})$ is the comoving time at which the black hole event horizon forms. Note that this appears to take place at $t = \infty$ to an external observer.

It is clear that (37) guarantees a C^0-matching of the dilaton field inside and outside of the dust, in general suggesting the presence of a surface stress-energy tensor. The discontinuity in the normal derivative of Φ should also be indicative of a surface dilaton charge, with a self-repulsion that is balanced by a mechanical force. In $N > 1$ spatial dimensions such self- repulsion can be balanced by surface tension; for example when $N = 3$ a conducting balloon (*i.e.* a 2-surface) with electrostatic charge respects $\pi r^2 \sigma \sim \hat{T} r$ where σ is the electrostatic repulsive force per unit area and $\hat{T}$ is the surface tension. However in one dimension the boundary of any object is 0-dimensional: hence there is no analog of $\hat{T}$, necessitating a pressure normal to the edge which balances the self-repulsion due to the dilaton surface charge.

These may be computed by integrating the field equations (24,25) across the dust edge as follows. Denoting the 2-velocity of the edge and its normal by u^μ and n^μ respectively yields from (24)

$$\begin{aligned} [K] &= -8\pi G S_{00} \\ 2\,[u \cdot \nabla \Phi] &= 8\pi G S_{01} \\ [K] + 2\,[n \cdot \nabla \Phi] &= 8\pi G S_{11} \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

where $e_0^\mu \equiv u^\mu$ and $e_1^\mu \equiv n^\mu$. Here

$$[A] \equiv \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{s-\epsilon}^{s+\epsilon} dn\, (n \cdot \nabla A) \tag{41}$$

is the discontinuity in a quantity A across the edge, and

$$S_{ij} \equiv \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{s-\epsilon}^{s+\epsilon} dn e^{2\Phi} e_i^\mu e_j^\nu T_{\mu\nu} \tag{42}$$

is the surface stress tensor, evaluated by integrating the stress-energy tensor across the edge. The extrinsic curvature K is related to the Ricci scalar via the equation

$$R = 2\left(n \cdot \nabla K - K^2\right) \tag{43}$$

and its discontinuity $[K]$ may be directly evaluated from (37,39) using $u^\mu = (\dot{t}, \dot{s})$ and

$$K \equiv u^\mu u^\nu \nabla_\mu n_\nu \quad . \tag{44}$$

Similarly, the discontinuities in $u \cdot \nabla\Phi$ and $n \cdot \nabla\Phi$ may be computed using (37) and the exact solution (33,34). The results are

$$[K] = \frac{Q}{2}\frac{1+\dot{s}^2}{\alpha(s)\dot{t}}\left(ae^{2\Phi_0} - 1\right) \tag{45}$$

$$[n \cdot \nabla\Phi] = -\frac{Q}{2}\alpha(s)\dot{t} = -[K] \tag{46}$$

upon using (37), and

$$[u \cdot \nabla\Phi] = 0 \quad , \tag{47}$$

yielding a surface stress

$$S_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} -[K] & 0 \\ 0 & 3[K] \end{pmatrix} \quad . \tag{48}$$

That a dilaton surface charge is necessary is easily seen by considering integration of (25) across the edge; in the absence of any such charge we obtain $2[n \cdot \nabla\Phi] = -[K]$, in conflict with (46). The source J for such a charge may be introduced by adding a term $J(x)\psi^2(x)$ in the action (16), yielding in place of (25)

$$R - 4(\nabla\Phi)^2 + 4\nabla^2\Phi + J + c = 0 \tag{49}$$

whose matching condition is

$$2[K] + 4[n \cdot \nabla\Phi] + 16\pi\sigma_D = -2[K] + 16\pi\sigma_D = 0 \tag{50}$$

where $16\pi\sigma_D = \lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\int_{s-\epsilon}^{s+\epsilon} dn J$. Note that the presence of such a source modifies the conservation laws so that

$$\nabla_\nu J = 16\pi G \nabla_\mu T^\mu_\nu. \tag{51}$$

In both the vacuum and dust regions $J = 0$, yielding the familiar conservation laws. From a viewpoint perhaps more palatable to string theorists, J can be modelled by a tachyonic potential $V(\mathcal{T})$ whose form is a delta function point source at the dust edge.

For collapse to take place $e^{Qx_0} > a$; which from (33,35) implies

$$\rho_0 > \frac{aQ^2}{16\pi G} \tag{52}$$

showing that the initial density must exceed a critical value, as noted previously for two dimensional collapse [4]. A light signal emitted from the surface at time t obeys the null condition

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = (1 - ae^{-Q|x|}) \tag{53}$$

which arrives at a distant point $\tilde{x}$ at time

$$\tilde{t} = t + \int_{s(\tau)}^{\tilde{x}} \frac{dt}{dx} dx$$

$$= t + \frac{1}{Q}\mathrm{sgn}(\tilde{x}) \ln \left[\frac{(e^{-Q|\tilde{x}|}/a - 1)\cos^2(Q\tau_H/2)}{\cos^2(Q\tau/2) - \cos^2(Q\tau_H/2)} \right] \tag{54}$$

which diverges as $\tau \to \tau_H$, showing that the collapse to $R = 0$ is completely unobservable for outside observers on either side of the fluid. The corresponding redshift factor is easily computed to be

$$z = \frac{\cos(Q\tau/2)}{\sin(Q\tau_H/2) - \sin(Q\tau/2)} - 1 \tag{55}$$

which also diverges as $\tau \to \tau_H$.

The above features of this collapse are quite analogous to those discussed previously [4] for the black hole solution (23) in the theory (22) . In both cases the endpoint of this collapse may be modelled by a point source at the origin

$$T = (p - \rho),\ p = 0,\ \rho = \frac{M}{2\pi G}\delta(x), \tag{56}$$

where M is a paramter associated with the mass of the source. In this case the exterior solution (28) for Φ becomes

$$\Phi = \frac{M|x|}{\alpha(0)} \tag{57}$$

whereas

$$\alpha(x) = 1 - ae^{-2M|x|} \tag{58}$$

with

$$J(x) = 2M(a-2)\delta(x) \tag{59}$$

as the dilaton source.

Thus the metric for this black hole is

$$ds^2 = -(1 - ae^{-2M|x|})dt^2 + (1 - ae^{-2M|x|})^{-1}dx^2, \tag{60}$$

which is locally identical to (1) with $Q = 2M\mathrm{sgn}(x)$. Horizons will only form for positive M, so we will assume $M > 0$ in the rest of this paper.

The metric (60) may be written in a conformally flat form, by means of the transformations

$$\begin{array}{rcll} y & = & \frac{1}{2M}\ln(e^{-2Mx} - a), & x \in (-\infty, -\ln(a)/2M), \\ |y| & = & -\frac{1}{2M}\ln(a - e^{2M|x|}), & x \in (-\ln(a)/2M, \ln(a)/2M), \\ y & = & -\frac{1}{2M}\ln(e^{2Mx} - a), & x \in (\ln(a)/2M, \infty), \end{array} \tag{61}$$

which are only valid for M positive. Each of the above intervals is mapped to an interval $y \in (-\infty, \infty)$. The metric in the new (y, t) coordinates is

$$\begin{array}{rcll} ds^2 & = & (1 + ae^{-2My})^{-1}(-dt^2 + dy^2), & \text{timelike}, \\ ds^2 & = & (ae^{2M|y|} - 1)^{-1}(dt^2 - dy^2), & \text{spacelike}, \\ ds^2 & = & (1 + ae^{2My})^{-1}(-dt^2 + dy^2), & \text{timelike} \end{array} \tag{62}$$

where "timelike" denotes a region of signature $(-,+)$ and "spacelike" denotes a region of signature $(+,-)$. The asymptotically flat sides of the exterior patches correspond to $x \to \pm\infty$, and in the interior patch $y(x) = 0$ at $x = 0$, so the (delta-function) singularity is at the origin of the y coordinate.

The black hole solution (26) has an event horizon which is a zero-sphere (a pair of points) with the singularity in the centre of the sphere. Geodesics of particles falling into this black hole are cut off at finite parameter values, as we shall see in the next section. We shall consider further properties of this black hole metric in the next two sections, postponing discussion of the black hole (10) until section 6.

4 Classical Properties: The Motion of Test Particles

We now wish to consider the motion of test particles in the gravitational field of the mass M. We will assume that the test particle's mass is small compared to M, so that we may neglect its contribution to the gravitational field. The geodesic equations are

$$\frac{d^2x^\gamma}{d\lambda^2} + \Gamma^\gamma_{\mu\nu}\frac{dx^\mu}{d\lambda}\frac{dx^\nu}{d\lambda} = 0, \tag{63}$$

where λ is an affine parameter along the geodesics. In the metric (60), these equations are

$$\ddot{x} - \frac{1}{2}\alpha^{-1}\alpha'\dot{x}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha\alpha'\dot{t}^2 = 0, \tag{64}$$

$$\ddot{t} + \alpha^{-1}\alpha'\dot{x}\dot{t} = 0, \tag{65}$$

with solutions

$$\dot{t} = 1/\alpha,\ \dot{x}^2 = 1 - E^2\alpha, \tag{66}$$

where E is a constant of integration related to the proper time, since

$$d\tau^2 = \alpha dt^2 - \alpha^{-1}dx^2 = E^2 d\lambda^2. \tag{67}$$

Thus for massless particles $E = 0$, for massive particles $E^2 > 0$.

It is possible to solve the equations (66) explicitly for x and t in terms of the proper time τ. In all cases, initially infalling particles will cross the horizon in finite proper time and infinite coordinate time. However, particles initially moving away from the hole may escape to infinity, as the solution is asymptotically flat, unlike the black hole (23).

The solutions for massless particles, with initial conditions $x(0) = x_0$, $t(0) = 0$ are:

$$|x|(\lambda) = -\lambda + x_0, \tag{68}$$

$$t(\lambda) = \lambda - \frac{1}{2M}\ln(1 - ae^{-2M(-\lambda+x_0)}) + \frac{1}{2M}\ln(1 - ae^{-2Mx_0}), \tag{69}$$

where λ is an affine parameter along the geodesics. These solutions are only valid for $|x| > \ln(a)/2M$. The particle reaches the horizon $|x| = x_H = \ln(a)/2M$ when the affine parameter is $\lambda = x_0 - x_H$, and the coordinate time $t \to \infty$ at this value of λ.

For massive particles, the solution is divided into three cases. For $E^2 < 1$, the solution is

$$|x|(\tau) = -\frac{1}{2M}\ln(\frac{1-E^2}{aE^2}(\tanh^2(\tau)-1)), \tag{70}$$

$$t(\tau) = \tau - \sqrt{1-E^2}\tanh^{-1}(\sqrt{1-E^2}\tanh(\tau)), \tag{71}$$

where the proper time τ has been rescaled to simplify the expression, and the initial condition $t(0) = 0$ has been applied. At the horizon, $|x| = x_H$ and $\tau = \tanh^{-1}(1/\sqrt{1-E^2})$. The coordinate time will diverge at this value of τ. For $E^2 = 1$, the solution is

$$|x|(\tau) = \frac{1}{2M}\ln(a\tau^2), \tag{72}$$

$$t(\tau) = \tau + \frac{1}{2}\ln(\frac{\tau-1}{\tau+1}), \tag{73}$$

where τ is rescaled for simplicity. At the horizon $\tau = 1$, and thus the coordinate time diverges. The third case, $E^2 > 1$, is only possible close enough to the horizon so that $1 - E^2\alpha(x) > 0$, as otherwise the kinetic energy of the particle will be negative. The solution for this case is

$$|x|(\tau) = -\frac{1}{2M}\ln(\frac{E^2-1}{aE^2}(\tan^2(\tau)+1)), \tag{74}$$

$$t(\tau) = \tau + \sqrt{E^2-1}\tanh^{-1}(\sqrt{E^2-1}\tan(\tau)), \tag{75}$$

using the same conditions as for $E^2 < 1$. As the particle crosses the horizon, $\tau = \tan^{-1}(1/\sqrt{E^2-1})$, and thus the coordinate time $t \to \infty$. All the above solutions assume an initially infalling particle, that is, $\dot{x}(0)/x(0) < 0$, and are valid only in the exterior region $|x| > x_H$.

The geodesic deviation equation cannot be solved explicitly for massive particles, but it shows the expected behaviour that the separation between massive particles increases as they fall towards the hole. The equation is

$$a^\sigma = R^\sigma_{\mu\rho\nu} n^\rho u^\mu u^\nu, \tag{76}$$

where n is the geodesic separation vector, a is the acceleration and u is the tangent to the geodesic (the two-velocity of a freely-falling particle). This equation can be simplified by assuming n orthogonal to u, and assuming u has unit norm for massive particles. The result is $a^\sigma = -\frac{1}{2}\alpha'' n^\sigma$ for massive and $a^\sigma = 0$ for massless particles. As α'' is negative everywhere, this means that nearby geodesics will separate because of the difference in force on them. Solution of the equation for massless particles yields

$$n^\sigma = C(1, \alpha) \text{ or } n^\sigma = C(1/\alpha, -1), \tag{77}$$

where C is a constant of integration. These values of n give the directions of the edges of the light cone. For large x, $\alpha = 1$, and they are $n^\sigma = C(1, 1)$, $n^\sigma = C(1, -1)$, as for flat space. At the black hole, $\alpha = -1$, and the directions are $n^\sigma = C(1, -1)$, $n^\sigma = C(-1, -1)$, showing that the light cones 'tip over', being turned 90 degrees from the flat space directions at the singularity, in analogy with the four-dimensional case.

5 Quantum Properties

We now consider the thermodynamic properties of the horizon, as in [2]. The radiation temperature of the horizon is most easily calculated by transforming the horizon to the origin of a polar coordinate system [1], and requiring that the euclideanized time coordinate be periodic. The metric then becomes

$$ds^2 = \alpha(x(r))d\tau^2 + dr^2, \tag{78}$$

where r is a coordinate such that $dx/dr = \sqrt{\alpha(x)}$, which is well-defined as long as $\alpha \geq 0$. Expanding about $r = 0$ gives

$$\alpha(r) = \alpha(0) + r\sqrt{\alpha(0)}\alpha'(0) + \frac{1}{2}r^2\left(\alpha''(0)\alpha(0) + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha'(0))^2\right) + \cdots. \tag{79}$$

If we choose $\alpha(0) = 0$, that is, the event horizon is at the origin, equation (78) becomes

$$ds^2 = r^2\left(\frac{\alpha'(0)}{2}\right)^2 d\tau^2 + dr^2, \tag{80}$$

and imposing the requirement that τ be periodic yields the temperature

$$T = \frac{\hbar}{2\pi} \left| \frac{\alpha'(x_H)}{2} \right|. \tag{81}$$

For the black hole given by the metric (60), the temperature is

$$T = \frac{\hbar}{2\pi} M, \tag{82}$$

which is the same as for the simple black hole given by (23) [2, 5].

The entropy may now be deduced from the thermodynamic equation proposed by Bekenstein [14],

$$d\mathcal{M} = TdS + \Phi dQ, \tag{83}$$

where Φ plays the role of an electric potential, Q (here only) designates the electric charge, and there is no angular momentum term in two dimensions. Identification of $\mathcal{M}$, the thermodynamic mass, leads to an interesting ambiguity. We can evaluate $\mathcal{M}$ by the quasi-local mass formula of [11], Eq. (8.12). We find

$$\mathcal{M} = 2aMe^{-2\Phi_0}, \tag{84}$$

where we have reinserted for the moment a dependence on the integration constant for the dilaton, Φ_0. McGuigan *et al* note that this result is in agreement with earlier ADM determinations of the mass if they set $a = 1$. Now, knowing the radiative temperature, we can find the entropy by integrating

$$T^{-1} = \frac{\partial S}{\partial \mathcal{M}}. \tag{85}$$

However an ambiguity arises because there is a question of interpretation in determining the dependence of the temperature on $\mathcal{M}$. For McGuigan *et al* [11], M is a constant (it is a parameter set by the string theory), and thus, the temperature itself is a constant, independent of the thermodynamic mass $\mathcal{M}$. If we follow this lead, then we find that the black-hole entropy is proportional to the thermodynamic mass

$$S_{bh} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar M} \mathcal{M}. \tag{86}$$

Alternatively, we could instead choose to set $2ae^{-2\Phi_0}$ equal to a constant (a freedom we would seem to have if we can set $a = 1$), in which case the thermodynamic mass is simply proportional to M, which we have modelled with a point source of dust and of dilaton at the origin (56)–(59). In other words, our collapse solution motivates us to identify M itself as the thermodynamic mass of the black hole. In this context we treat M not as a fixed parameter, but as a variable strength for the localized source which is breaking down the conformal invariance. This mass identification is analogous to that of ref. [4] and also agrees with the mass one gets by taking the Newtonian limit of this theory. Making this identification of mass, and integrating (85), we get

$$S_{bh} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \ln(\frac{M}{M_0}), \tag{87}$$

where M_0 is a constant of integration, yielding a logarithmic dependence of black-hole entropy on mass. Note that this result is identical to that in [2]. The constant M_0 appears as a fundamental length in the two-dimensional theory, similar to the Planck mass in the four-dimensional case. More details on the entropy of black holes in two dimensions are given in [2].

As a first step in determining more fully the quantum properties of the black hole, we turn now to another viewpoint, the 't Hooft "brick wall" treatment of the horizons. To avoid difficulties arising at the horizon, 't Hooft has suggested [15] that particle wave functions near a (four-dimensional) horizon are modified by gravitational interactions between the incoming and outgoing waves, causing an effective cutoff of wavemodes near the horizon. Modelling the suggested interactions with a simple "brick wall" cutoff a short distance from the horizon, he matched the normal Hawking properties of the black hole and showed that the cutoff distance was an intrinsic property of the horizon, independent of the mass of the source. In [2], this cutoff was examined for the simple black hole (23), for which the cutoff distance is found to depend on both the mass of the source and the rest mass of the particle.

We now examine a two-dimensional "brick wall" cutoff for (60). Assume that the wave functions vanish within some constant distance h of the horizon,

$$\phi(x) = 0 \text{ if } x \leq x_H + h, \tag{88}$$

where $\phi(x)$ is the scalar wave function of a particle mass m. Now take ϕ to

be a stationary state, in which case the Klein-Gordon equation implies that

$$(\alpha\phi')' + [E^2\alpha^{-1} - m^2]\phi = 0. \tag{89}$$

Changing to coordinates such that $dz = \alpha^{-1}dx$, with an overdot denoting d/dz, we get

$$\ddot{\phi} + [E^2\alpha^{-1} - m^2]\phi = 0. \tag{90}$$

Using a WKB approximation $\phi = e^{iS}\varphi$, we find that the radial wavenumber $k(x, E) = dS/dx$ is given by

$$k^2 = \frac{1}{\alpha^2}[E^2 - m^2\alpha], \tag{91}$$

which is valid as long as the right-hand side is non-negative. The density of states is given by the total number of radial modes $\pi N = \int dx\, k(x, E)$,

$$g(E) = \int \frac{dx}{\alpha(x)}\sqrt{E^2 - m^2\alpha(x)}, \tag{92}$$

integrating from the brick wall $x = x_H + h$ up to the maximum given by $E^2 - m^2\alpha(x) = 0$. This gives

$$\begin{aligned} g(E) &= \frac{1}{2M}\left[E\ln\left(\frac{2E^2 + \gamma + 2E\sqrt{E^2 - \gamma}}{\gamma}\right)\right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sqrt{E^2 - m^2}\ln\left(\frac{2E^2 - m^2 - \gamma + 2\sqrt{E^2 - m^2}\sqrt{E^2 - \gamma}}{m^2 - \gamma}\right)\right] \end{aligned} \tag{93}$$

where $\gamma = m^2(1 - e^{-2Mh})$. Approximating for small m ($m \ll E$) yields

$$g(E) = -\frac{E}{2M}\ln(e^{2Mh} - 1). \tag{94}$$

As the particle is a boson, the free energy F at an inverse temperature β is given by

$$e^{-\beta F} = \prod_N \frac{1}{(1 - e^{-\beta E_N})}, \tag{95}$$

yielding

$$\begin{aligned} \pi\beta F &= \int dg(E)\ln(1 - e^{-\beta E}) \\ &= -\beta\int_{E_0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\beta E}}{1 - e^{-\beta E}} g dE, \end{aligned} \tag{96}$$

where $E_0 = m\sqrt{1-e^{-2Mh}}$ is the energy necessary to reach the brick wall. Substituting (94) for g, and neglecting E_0 (of order m), we get

$$F = \frac{1}{2\pi M}\int_0^\infty \frac{EdE}{e^{\beta E}-1}\ln(e^{2Mh}-1). \tag{97}$$

The solution is

$$F = \frac{\pi}{12M\beta^2}\ln(e^{2Mh}-1), \tag{98}$$

where we have neglected all terms of linear and higher order in m. The free energy in (98) may be identified with the free energy of the black hole itself. Note that the free energy is independent of m, unlike the free energy of the black hole in [2]. The total energy and entropy are

$$U = \frac{\partial}{\partial\beta}(\beta F) = -\frac{\pi}{12M\beta^2}\ln(e^{2Mh}-1), \tag{99}$$

$$S = \beta(U-F) = -\frac{\pi}{6M\beta}\ln(e^{2Mh}-1). \tag{100}$$

Note these are both positive, as $2Mh \ll 1$.

We now choose the cutoff distance h so that the entropy S and inverse temperature β are given by (86 or 87) and (82) respectively, so that we can identify them with the Hawking values [15]. We can try this first by equating (86) with (100) and $\beta = 2\pi/M$. Then we obtain

$$\frac{1}{12}\ln(e^{2Mh}-1) = \frac{2\pi a}{M} \tag{101}$$

which gives

$$h = \frac{1}{2M}\ln\left(1+e^{-\frac{24\pi a}{M}}\right). \tag{102}$$

The invariant distance from the horizon to the brick wall is

$$ds = \int_{x_H}^{x_H+h}\frac{dx}{\sqrt{\alpha}}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2M}\ln\left[1-2\left(e^{\frac{-24\pi a}{M}}+e^{\frac{-48\pi a}{M}}\right)^{1/2}+2e^{\frac{-24\pi a}{M}}\right]. \tag{103}$$

We thus obtain the result that the invariant cutoff distance depends upon the strength of the source (although not on the mass of the particle) and is not an intrinsic feature of the horizon. The total energy U is

$$U = \frac{1}{2}a. \tag{104}$$

If we instead equate (87) with (100), we have

$$-\frac{1}{12}\ln(e^{2Mh}-1)=2\pi\ln\left(\frac{M}{M_0}\right), \tag{105}$$

which gives

$$h=\frac{1}{2M}\ln\left(1+\left(\frac{M}{M_0}\right)^{-24\pi}\right). \tag{106}$$

The invariant distance from the horizon to the brick wall is then

$$\begin{aligned} ds &= \int_{x_H}^{x_H+h}\frac{dx}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2M}\ln\left[1-2\left(\left(\frac{M}{M_0}\right)^{-24\pi}+\left(\frac{M}{M_0}\right)^{-48\pi}\right)^{1/2}+2\left(\frac{M}{M_0}\right)^{-24\pi}\right] \end{aligned} \tag{107}$$

Again, the invariant cutoff distance depends upon the strength of the source and is not an intrinsic feature of the horizon. The total energy U is now

$$U=\frac{1}{2}M\ln\left(\frac{M}{M_0}\right) \tag{108}$$

which is identical to the result in [2].

6 Inclusion of Tachyons

In the sigma-model approach to the string theory, consideration of the L_0 operator acting on the tachyon gives the following metric [13]:

$$ds^2=\frac{1}{2}(k-2)[dr^2-4(\coth^2\frac{r}{2}-\frac{2}{k})^{-1}d\tau^2]. \tag{109}$$

As $\alpha'=k-2$, we have $Q^2/4=2/(k-2)$. This solution, unlike the solution (1) of the simpler theory, is naturally symmetric. We would like to write this metric in the same form as (1). We make the coordinate transformation

$$t=\frac{2}{Q}\tau, \tag{110}$$

$$x=-\frac{2}{Q}\ln\left(\sqrt{\cosh^2(r/2)+Q^2/4}+\cosh(r/2)\right), \tag{111}$$

which puts the metric in the form

$$ds^2 = 4(-\alpha dt^2 + \alpha^{-1} dx^2), \alpha(x) = 1 - \frac{k}{1 + \cosh(Qx - \ln(2k-4))}. \quad (112)$$

We can absorb the overall factor of 4 in this metric by a redefinition of the proper length. We then see that this reduces to (1) as $k \to \infty$, since the constant a in (1) can be suppressed by translating x. For k finite, a further translation of x will put the new metric (112) in the form

$$ds^2 = -\alpha dt^2 + \alpha^{-1} dx^2, \alpha(x) = 1 - \frac{k}{1 + \cosh(Qx)}. \quad (113)$$

We see that this form of the black hole is symmetric and asymptotically flat as $x \to \pm\infty$. The horizons are at $|x| = x_H = \cosh^{-1}(k-1)/Q$ (note $x_H \to \infty$ as $k \to \infty$). We will now briefly examine the properties of this black hole.

We first consider the motion of point particles. As the form of the metric is the same, the equations of motion will be (64,65), which give

$$\dot{t} = 1/\alpha, \ \dot{x}^2 = 1 - E^2\alpha, \quad (114)$$

where for massless particles $E = 0$, for massive particles $E^2 > 0$. We will restrict to $|x| > x_H$ while solving these equations. We proceed to solve the equations for massless particles, yielding the explicit solution

$$|x|(\lambda) = -\lambda + x_0 \quad (115)$$

$$t(\lambda) = \lambda + \sqrt{\frac{k}{2}} \tanh^{-1}\left(\sqrt{\frac{k}{k-2}} \tanh\left(-\frac{Q}{2}(\lambda - x_0)\right)\right) + C, \quad (116)$$

where C is a constant of integration. As $x \to x_H$, $\cosh(Qx) \to k - 1$, and thus the coordinate time diverges, $t \to \infty$, as expected.

For massive particles, the solution of the equation for x is again divided into three cases. For simplicity, we will give explicit solutions for x in terms of the proper time τ for each case, and a general form for the coordinate time t in terms τ and x. For the case $E^2 < 1$, the solution for x is

$$|x|(\tau) = \frac{1}{Q} \cosh^{-1}\left(\frac{(E^2k + 2 - 2E^2)\cosh(E\sqrt{1-E^2}Q\tau) - E^2k}{2 - 2E^2}\right) + x_0. \quad (117)$$

For the case $E^2 = 1$,

$$|x|(\tau) = \frac{1}{Q}\cosh^{-1}(\frac{Q^2k}{4}\tau^2 + 1) + x_0. \tag{118}$$

Finally, for $E^2 > 1$, where we have to restrict to x such that $1 - E^2\alpha(x) > 0$, the solution is

$$|x|(\tau) = \frac{1}{Q}\cosh^{-1}\left(\frac{(E^2k + 2 - 2E^2)\cos(E\sqrt{E^2-1}Q\tau) - E^2k}{2 - 2E^2}\right) + x_0. \tag{119}$$

The solution for the coordinate time is expressed in terms of the proper time τ and the function $\xi(x) = (\cosh(Qx) + 1 - k)^{-1}$. The solution in all cases is

$$t = \tau + \frac{k}{EQ\sqrt{k(k-2)}}\ln(2\sqrt{a}\sqrt{(1-E^2) + b\xi + a\xi^2} + 2a\xi + b) + C, \tag{120}$$

where $a = k(k-2), b = -E^2k + 2(k + E^2 - 1)$ and C is a constant of integration. As $|x| \to x_H$, $\xi \to \infty$, and thus the coordinate time $t \to \infty$, as expected. Thus the qualitative form of point particle motion is consistent with our previous results. As before, these solutions only apply to initially infalling particles outside the black hole.

We next consider the quantum properties of this system. The temperature of the horizons may be calculated as before [1], and we find

$$T = \frac{\hbar}{2\pi}\left|\frac{\alpha'(x_H)}{2}\right| = \frac{\hbar}{2\pi}\sqrt{2/k}. \tag{121}$$

The consistent temperature results from the two other black holes previously considered prompt us to identify the mass from this temperature as $M = \sqrt{2/k}$. This reduces to the value $M = Q/2$ given for the simpler string theory black hole (60) in the limit $k \to \infty$. If we now consider the entropy with this mass identification, and write only the analog to (87), we obtain

$$S_{bh} = -\frac{\pi}{\hbar}\ln\left(\frac{k}{k_0}\right), \tag{122}$$

where k_0 is a constant of integration having dimensions of an inverse length squared. Turning to the 't Hooft brick wall model [15], we see that the integral

for the density of states will have the form (92), which may be rewritten as

$$g(E) = \frac{\sqrt{k}}{Q} \int d\alpha \frac{\sqrt{E^2 - m^2\alpha}}{\alpha(1-\alpha)\sqrt{k-2+2\alpha}}. \tag{123}$$

If we integrate between the limits $\alpha = E^2/m^2$ and $x = x_H + h$ and neglect all terms in m, we find that the solution is

$$\begin{aligned} g(E) &= -\frac{E}{Q}\left(\ln(\sqrt{\gamma^2-2\gamma}+\gamma-1) + \sqrt{\frac{k}{k-2}}\ln(1-\gamma/k)\right. \\ &\quad \left. -\sqrt{\frac{k}{k-2}}\ln(\sqrt{1-2/k}\sqrt{\gamma^2-2\gamma}+\gamma(1-\frac{1}{k})-1)\right), \end{aligned} \tag{124}$$

where $\gamma = 1 + \cosh(Qx_H + Qh)$. If we now substitute this form of g in the integral (96) for the free energy F, we find that

$$F = \frac{\pi}{6Q\beta^2} f(h,k), \tag{125}$$

where $f(h,k) = -Qg(E)/E$. The entropy is then

$$S = -\frac{\pi}{3Q\beta} f(h,k). \tag{126}$$

Comparing this entropy to (122), using $\beta = T^{-1}$, will give h in terms of k. This is given implicitly by the equation

$$f(h,k) = 12\pi\sqrt{1-\frac{2}{k}}\ln\left(\frac{k}{k_0}\right). \tag{127}$$

So the value of h, and thus the invariant distance, will depend on k in a highly nonlinear fashion.

7 Conclusions

Locally the black hole solution (1) proposed in [7, 9] has (for $x > 0$) many qualitative and quantitative similarities to the simple black hole (23) [2, 4]. We have seen that in order to understand this solution as being the exterior metric outside a symmetric distribution of matter, it is necessary to modify

it by replacing x with the two dimensional "radial" variable $|x|$, something quite natural in the context of a two-dimensional theory of gravity based on the action (16). The resulting black hole (60) has the same local features as (1), and is similar in many respects to the black hole (23) studied previously [2, 4]. However, while the exterior metric (60) is asymptotically flat, the metric (23) is (locally) exactly flat, as it corresponds to two Rindler spaces of opposite acceleration parameter matched to a symmetric distribution of matter [3].

The modification from x to $|x|$ significantly changes the properties of the spacetime investigated in [9, 10]. While the original asymmetric black hole (1) is identical to the black hole (60) in the region outside its horizon (for positive x), the identification of the "mass" of the black hole in the former case is made in the context of the massive scalar field rather than implying a strictly physical source. The exponential singularity in the curvature at $x \to \infty$ becomes a delta- function singularity at the origin. A localized physical source such as gravitationally collapsing dust can give rise to this black hole only if appropriate surface stress energies and dilaton surface charges are included. The latter may be understood to arise from a tachyon potential at the edges of the dust. Hence we see that inclusion of tachyonic effects (albeit in a phenomenologically simple form) is necessary to "symmetrize" the string black hole.

The more realistic inclusion of tachyon effects resulting in the more complicated black hole solution (113) proposed in [13] is shown (after a translation) to be symmetric about the origin. It includes the simpler black hole (60) in the $k \to \infty$ limit. Their properties are similar in that each has the expected properties for point particle motion, and if we identify the mass as $M = \sqrt{2/k}$ the quantum properties are also the same.

The similarities between the black hole solutions investigated here and the $(3+1)$ dimensional Schwarzchild case suggest that the theory (16) coupled to matter should have other interesting properties. Work on this area is in progress.

8 Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada. Dr. M. Morris wishes to thank the Cana-

dian Institute for Theoretical Astrophysics for partial support. We would especially like to thank W. Israel for discussions concerning the matching conditions. The integrals in this paper were evaluated using the Maple 4.3 symbolic algebra package.

References

[1] J.D. Brown, M. Henneaux and C. Teitelboim, Phys. Rev. **D9** (1974) 3292; Lett. Nuovo Cimento **4** (1972) 737

[2] R.B. Mann, A. Shiekh, and L. Tarasov, Nucl. Phys. **B341** (1990) 134.

[3] R.B. Mann, Found. Phys. Lett. (to be published).

[4] A.E. Sikkema and R.B. Mann, Class. Quantum Grav. **8** (1991) 219.

[5] R.B. Mann, S.M. Morsink, A.E. Sikkema and T.G. Steele, Phys. Rev. **D43** (1991) 3948.

[6] S.M. Morsink and R.B. Mann, Class. Quant. Grav. (to be published); R.B. Mann and T.G. Steele, Class. Quant. Grav. (to be published).

[7] H.-J. Schmidt, J. Math. Phys. **32** (1991) 1562

[8] A.R. Lugo and J.G. Russo, Stanford University preprint, SU-ITP-896

[9] G. Mandal, A.M. Sengupta, and S.R. Wadia, IAS preprint, IASSNS-HEP-91/10.

[10] E. Witten, IAS preprint, IASSNS-HEP-91/12

[11] M. D. McGuigan, C. R. Nappi, S. A. Yost, IAS preprint, IASSNS-HEP-91/57.

[12] T. Banks M. O'Loughlin, Nucl. Phys. **B362** 649 (1991).

[13] R. Dijkgraaf, H. Verlinde and E. Verlinde, Princeton preprint PUPT-1252, IAS preprint IASSNS-HEP-91/22.

[14] J.D. Bekenstein, Phys. Rev. **D7** (1973) 2333

[15] G. 't Hooft, Nucl. Phys. **B256** (1985) 727